

.A Fixed Point Results Of W -distance In Complete Metric Spaces

T. M. EL-Adawy* , and N. H. Abd-Alla**

*Department Of Mathematic,
College of Science and Arts in
Unaizah, Qussim University,
Qussim, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
*E-mail: taha_eg@hotmail.com
**E-mail: nhabddeal2002@yahoo.com*

Abstract. Using the concept of W -distance, a result on the existence of fixed points for multivalued maps is proved. Consequently, provide the previous works done by others and then compare them with the presented one explicitly, of a new condition that is deduced from the properties of W -distance.

Keywords: W -distance, Banach contraction, Kannan contraction, a lower semi-continuous, Non commutative W -contraction, generalized non commutative W -contraction and Non commutative k_w -map.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, X is a metric space with metric d . Let 2^X , $CL(X)$ and $CB(X)$ denote the collection of nonempty subsets of X , nonempty closed subsets of X , and nonempty closed bounded subsets of X , respectively. By using the properties of w -distance and the generalized w -contraction map we prove fixed point and common fixed point results for multivalued maps in the setting of metric spaces.

Preliminaries

Consider a single valued map $f : X \rightarrow X$ and a multivalued map $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$

(i) A point $x \in X$ is called a fixed point of f if $f(x) = x$ and a fixed point of T if $x \in T(x)$.

(ii) f is called Banach contraction if for a fixed constant $k \in [0, 1)$ and for each $x, y \in X$.

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$$

(iii) f is called Kannan contraction if for a fixed constant $h \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and for each $x, y \in X$.

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq h[d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))]$$

Definition 1.1

A map $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a lower semi-continuous if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ imply that $\psi(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x_n)$

Recently, Kada et al. [1] introduce a concept of w -distance as follows

Definition 1.2

A function $w : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a w -distance on X if it satisfies the following:

(i) $w(x, z) \leq w(x, y) + w(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

(ii) $w(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a lower semicontinuous map, i.e, if a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X with $y_n \rightarrow y \in X$, then $w(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(x, y_n)$.

(iii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $w(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $w(z, y) \leq \delta$

imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$.

The metric d is a w -distance on X . Many other examples of w -distance are given in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. Note that in general for $x, y \in X$, $w(x, y) \neq w(y, x)$.

Example 1.3

If $X = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$. For each $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) = x + y$ if $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$ is a metric on X and (X, d) is a complete metric space. Moreover by defining $p(x, y) = y$, p is a w -distance on (X, d) . We find that $p(x, y) \neq p(y, x)$ for all point except at $x = y$.

Lemma 1.4 [1]

Let X be a metric space with metric d and w be a w -distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in X . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be two sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converge to 0, and $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following hold:

(a) If $w(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$ in particular, if $w(x, y) = 0$ and $w(x, z) = 0$ then $y = z$. Similarly, if $w(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(z, x_n) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$ in particular, if $w(y, x) = 0$ and $w(z, x) = 0$ then $y = z$.

(b) If $w(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z . Similarly, if $w(y_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(z, x_n) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z .

(c) If $w(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Similarly, if $w(x_m, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(d) If $w(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Similarly, if $w(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Definition 1.5

We say a multivalued map $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is :

(i) Non commutative w -contraction if there exist a w -distance w on X and a constant $h \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that for any $x, y \in X$ and $u \in T(x)$ there is $v \in T(y)$ with $w(u, v) \leq h[w(x, y) + w(y, x)]$.

(ii) Generalized non commutative w -contraction if there exists a w -contractive if there exists a w -distance w on X such that for any $x, y \in X$ and $u \in T(x)$ there is $v \in T(y)$ with

$$w(u, v) \leq k(w(x, y), w(y, x))[w(x, y) + w(y, x)]$$

Where $k : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2})$

With $\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow (t_1^+, t_2^+)} \sup k(r_1, r_2) < \frac{1}{2}$ for every $(t_1, t_2) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.6

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be an orbit of T at $x_0 \in X$ if $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ for all $n \geq 1$.

A Fixed Point Result.

First, we prove our key lemma in the setting of metric spaces.

Lemma 2.1

Let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be generalized non commutative w -contraction map. Then there exists an orbit $\{x_n\}$ of T at x_0 such that the sequences of nonnegative numbers $\{w(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ and $\{w(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$ are converging to zero and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Proof.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed element of X and $x_1 \in T(x_0)$. Since T is generalized non commutative w -contraction, there is $x_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that

$$w(x_1, x_2) \leq k(w(x_0, x_1), w(x_1, x_0))[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]$$

Continuing this process, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ and $w(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k(w(x_{n-1}, x_n), w(x_n, x_{n-1})) \times [w(x_{n-1}, x_n) + w(x_n, x_{n-1})]$

Thus for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$w(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2}[w(x_{n-1}, x_n) + w(x_n, x_{n-1})]$$

Write $t_n = w(x_n, x_{n+1})$, $t_n^\backslash = w(x_{n+1}, x_n)$. Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lambda_1 > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^\backslash = \lambda_2 > 0$. Then we have

$$t_n \leq k(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}^\backslash)[t_{n-1} + t_{n-1}^\backslash]$$

Now, taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, we get

$$\lambda_1 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}^\backslash)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$$

Without loss of generality let $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$, then

$$\lambda_1 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}^\backslash)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) < \lambda_1$$

Which is impossible and hence the sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{t_n\}$ which converges to zero

Similarly with respect to the sequence $\{w(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$.

Finally, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $\alpha = \lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow (0,0)} \sup k(r_1, r_2) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a real number h such that

$\alpha < h < \frac{1}{2}$ and for sufficiently large n we have, $k(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}^\backslash) < h$. Thus for

sufficiently large n we have $t_n \leq h[t_{n-1} + t_{n-1}^\backslash]$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_1, x_2) &\leq h[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \\ w(x_2, x_3) &\leq h[w(x_1, x_2) + w(x_2, x_1)] \\ &\leq h[h[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] + h[w(x_1, x_0) + w(x_0, x_1)]] \\ &\leq 2h^2[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \\ w(x_3, x_4) &\leq h[w(x_2, x_3) + w(x_3, x_2)] \\ &\leq h[2h^2[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] + 2h^2[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4h^3[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \\
w(x_4, x_5) &\leq h[w(x_3, x_4) + w(x_4, x_3)] \\
&\leq h[4h^3[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] + 4h^3[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]] \\
&\leq 8h^4[w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]
\end{aligned}$$

And finally we get,

$$w(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 2^{n-1} h^n [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x_n, x_m) &\leq w(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \\
&w(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + w(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&< 2^{n-1} h^n [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] + 2^n h^{n+1} [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \\
&\quad + \dots + 2^{m-2} h^{m-1} [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] \\
&< 2^{n-1} h^n [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)] [1 + 2h + 4h^2 + \dots + 2^{m-n-1} h^{m-n-1}] \\
&< \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(2h)^n}{1-2h} \right) [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $2h < 1$, then $(2h)^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Similarly with respect to the sequence $\{w(x_m, x_n)\}$

Theorem 2.2

Let X be a complete metric space. Then each generalized non commutative w -contraction map $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ has a fixed point.

Proof.

It follows from the previous lemma 2.1 that there exists an orbit $\{x_n\}$ of T which is a Cauchy sequence and the sequence $w(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$. Due to the completeness of X , there exists some $v_0 \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v_0$. Since $w(x_n, \cdot)$ is a lower semicontinuous and $x_m \rightarrow v_0 \in X$, it follows from lemma 2.1 that

$$w(x_n, v_0) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(x_n, x_m) < \left(\frac{2^{n-1} h^n}{1-2h} \right) [w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_0)]$$

And

$$w(v_0, x_n) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(x_m, x_n) < \left(\frac{2^{n-1} h^n}{1-2h} \right) [w(x_1, x_0) + w(x_0, x_1)]$$

Which implies $w(x_n, v_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $w(v_0, x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Now since $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ and T is generalized non commutative w -contraction map, there is $u_n \in T(v_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_n, u_n) &\leq k(w(x_{n-1}, v_0), w(v_0, x_{n-1})) [w(x_{n-1}, v_0) + w(v_0, x_{n-1})] \\ &< \frac{1}{2} [w(x_{n-1}, v_0) + w(v_0, x_{n-1})] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $w(u_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus it follows from the condition b in lemma (1.4).

That $u_n \rightarrow v_0$. Since $T(v_0)$ is closed, we get $v_0 \in T(v_0)$.

Definition 2.3

A multivalued map $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is called a non commutative k_w -map if there exist a non negative number $h \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and if $M \subseteq X$, $\forall x, y \in M$ there exist $u \in T(x)$, $v \in T(y)$ such that

$$\max\{w(u, v), w(v, u)\} \leq h[w(x, u) + w(u, x) + w(y, v) + w(v, y)]$$

Theorem 2.4

Let $T : M \rightarrow CL(M)$ be a non commutative k_w -map such that

$$\inf\{w(x, u) + w(u, x) + w(x, Tx) + w(Tx, x) : x \in X\} > 0$$

For every $u \in X$ with $u \notin T(u)$. Then T has a fixed point.

Proof .

Let $u_0 \in M$ be arbitrary and $u_1 \in T(u_0)$ be fixed. Since T is a non commutative k_w -map there exists $u_2 \in T(u_1)$ such that

$$\max\{w(u_1, u_2), w(u_2, u_1)\} \leq h\{w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1)\}$$

If $\max\{w(u_1, u_2), w(u_2, u_1)\} = w(u_1, u_2)$, then

$$w(u_1, u_2) \leq h\{w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1)\}$$

Then

$$(1-h)w(u_1, u_2) \leq h\{w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_2, u_1)\} \tag{1}$$

But $w(u_2, u_1) \leq h\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1) + w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)\}$

Then $(1-h)w(u_2, u_1) \leq h\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)\}$

And we get $w(u_2, u_1) \leq \frac{h}{(1-h)}\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)\}$ (2)

From inequalities (1) and (2) we have

$$(1-h)w(u_1, u_2) \leq h\{w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0) + \frac{h}{(1-h)}\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)\}\}$$

After some calculations, we get

$$w(u_1, u_2) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h}\right)[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

But since $0 < \left(\frac{h}{1-2h}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$, let $k = \left(\frac{h}{1-2h}\right)$

So we have $w(u_1, u_2) \leq k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$ (3)

Similarly if $\max\{w(u_1, u_2), w(u_2, u_1)\} = w(u_2, u_1)$,

we get $w(u_2, u_1) \leq k[w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$ (4)

Also we have

$$\max\{w(u_2, u_3), w(u_3, u_2)\} \leq h\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1) + w(u_2, u_3) + w(u_3, u_2)\}$$

Now if $\max\{w(u_2, u_3), w(u_3, u_2)\} = w(u_2, u_3)$,

then $w(u_2, u_3) \leq h\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1) + w(u_2, u_3) + w(u_3, u_2)\}$

From inequalities (3), (4), we have

$$(1-h)w(u_2, u_3) \leq h\{w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1) + w(u_3, u_2)\}$$

We get $(1-h)w(u_2, u_3) \leq h\{2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + w(u_3, u_2)\}$

Also, we have

$$w(u_3, u_2) \leq h \{w(u_2, u_3) + w(u_3, u_2) + w(u_1, u_2) + w(u_2, u_1)\}$$

$$\text{Then } (1-h)w(u_3, u_2) \leq h \{w(u_2, u_3) + 2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}$$

$$\text{From which } w(u_3, u_2) \leq \frac{h}{(1-h)} \{w(u_2, u_3) + 2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (1-h)w(u_2, u_3) &\leq h \{2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + w(u_3, u_2)\} \\ &\leq h \{2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right) \{w(u_2, u_3) + 2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{1-2h}{1-h}\right)w(u_2, u_3) \leq h \{2k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + \left(\frac{2kh}{1-h}\right)[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}$$

So, we get

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq \left(\frac{1-h}{1-2h}\right) \left(\frac{2kh}{1-h}\right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq \left(\frac{2kh}{1-2h}\right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq 2k^2 [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Similarly if $\max\{w(u_2, u_3), w(u_3, u_2)\} = w(u_3, u_2)$, then

$$w(u_3, u_2) \leq 2k^2 [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

Also, we have

$$w(u_3, u_4) \leq h \{w(u_2, u_3) + w(u_3, u_2) + w(u_3, u_4) + w(u_4, u_3)\}$$

From which

$$(1-h)w(u_3, u_4) \leq h \{4k^2 [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + w(u_4, u_3)\}$$

But

$$w(u_4, u_3) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right) \{w(u_3, u_4) + 4k^2 [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}$$

Then

$$(1-h)w(u_3, u_4) \leq h\{4k^2[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)\{w(u_3, u_4) + 4k^2[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]\}\}$$

$$\left(\frac{1-2h}{1-h}\right)w(u_3, u_4) \leq \left(\frac{4k^2h}{1-h}\right)[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Then

$$w(u_3, u_4) \leq 4k^3[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

By induction, we get $w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq 2^{n-1}k^n[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$

To prove that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, let $m > n$ arbitrary, then

$$w(u_n, u_m) \leq w(u_n, u_{n+1}) + w(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + w(u_{m-1}, u_m)$$

$$\leq [2^{n-1}k^n + 2^n k^{n+1} + \dots + 2^{m-2}k^{m-1}][w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

$$\leq 2^{n-1}k^n [1 + 2k + \dots + 2^{m-n-1}k^{m-n-1}][w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1}k^n}{1-2k}\right)[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Similarly

$$w(u_m, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1}k^n}{1-2k}\right)[w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

So $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, then there exists $v_0 \in X$ such that $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in X$, M being closed we have $v_0 \in M$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Since $u_m \rightarrow v_0$ and $w(u_n, \cdot)$ is a lower semicontinuous, we get

$$w(u_n, v_0) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_m) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Similarly

$$w(v_0, u_n) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_m, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

So $w(u_n, v_0) \rightarrow 0$ and $w(v_0, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we want to prove that $v_0 \in T(v_0)$ by contradiction. Assume that $v_0 \notin T(v_0)$. Then by hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 < \inf\{w(u, v_0) + w(v_0, u) + w(u, Tu) + w(Tu, u) : u \in X\} \\ & \leq \inf\{w(u_n, v_0) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, Tu_n) + w(Tu_n, u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ & \leq \inf\{w(u_n, v_0) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n+1}) + w(u_{n+1}, u_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ & \leq \inf\left\{ \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)] + \right. \\ & \quad \left. 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)] \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is impossible and hence $v_0 \in T(v_0)$.

Theorem 2.5

Each non commutative k_w -multivalued map $T : M \rightarrow CL(M)$ has a fixed point provided that for any iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M with $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$. The sequence of real numbers $\{w(v_0, u_n)\}$ and $\{w(u_n, v_0)\}$ converges to zero.

Proof .

From the proof of the previous theorem. There exists a convergent iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$ with

$$w(u_n, v_0) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_m) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Similarly

$$w(v_0, u_n) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_m, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

And

$$w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

Similarly

$$w(u_{n+1}, u_n) \leq 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

Where

$$k = \left(\frac{h}{1-2h} \right) < \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Note that } w(u_n, v_0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ and } w(v_0, u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \text{ Further,}$$

since $u_n \in T(u_{n-1})$ and T is a non commutative k_w -multivalued-map, there is

$v_n \in T(v_0)$ such that

$$\max\{w(u_n, v_n), w(v_n, u_n)\} \leq h\{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(v_0, v_n) + w(v_n, v_0)\}$$

If $\max\{w(u_n, v_n), w(v_n, u_n)\} = w(u_n, v_n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w(u_n, v_n) &\leq h\{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(v_0, v_n) + w(v_n, v_0)\} \\ &\leq h\{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, v_n) + w(v_n, u_n) + w(u_n, v_0)\} \\ (1-h)w(u_n, v_n) &\leq h\{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(v_n, u_n) + w(u_n, v_0)\} \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_n, u_n) &\leq h\{w(v_0, v_n) + w(v_n, v_0) + w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1})\} \\ &\leq h\{w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, v_n) + w(v_n, u_n) + w(u_n, v_0) + w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1})\} \\ w(v_n, u_n) &\leq \left(\frac{h}{1-h} \right) \{w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, v_n) + w(u_n, v_0) + w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1})\} \\ w(u_n, v_n) &\leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h} \right) \{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, v_0)\} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if $\max\{w(u_n, v_n), w(v_n, u_n)\} = w(v_n, u_n)$, then

$$w(v_n, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h}\right) \{w(u_n, v_0) + w(v_0, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(u_{n-1}, u_n)\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Thus $w(u_n, v_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $w(u_n, v_0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, from lemma 1.4 (b), we get

$$v_n \rightarrow v_0$$

Similarly for $w(v_n, u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $w(v_0, u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ which implies

$$v_n \rightarrow v_0$$

Since $v_n \in T(v_0)$ which is closed, then $v_0 \in T(v_0)$.

Now, we prove the existence of a common fixed point for non commutative k_w -multivalued map.

Theorem 2.6

Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of multivalued maps of M into $CL(M)$.

Suppose that there exists a constant $0 < h < \frac{1}{4}$ such that for any $T_i, T_j \in \{T_n\}$ and for any $x \in M$, $u \in T_i(x)$. There exists $v \in T_j(y)$ for all $y \in M$ with

$$\max\{w(u, v), w(v, u)\} \leq h\{w(x, u) + w(u, x) + w(y, v) + w(v, y)\}$$

And for each $n \geq 1$

$$\inf\{w(x, u) + w(u, x) + w(x, T_n(x)) + w(T_n(x), x) : x \in X\} > 0.$$

For any $u \notin T_n(u)$. Then $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point.

Proof.

Let u_0 be an arbitrary element of M and let $u_1 \in T_1(u_0)$. Then there is $u_2 \in T_2(u_1)$, such that

$$4 w(u_1, u_2) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h}\right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

But $0 < \frac{h}{1-2h} < \frac{1}{2}$

Then put $k = \frac{h}{1-2h}$ and we get $w(u_1, u_2) \leq k[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$

Similarly

$$w(u_2, u_1) \leq k[w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

So there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_{n+1} \in T_{n+1}(u_n)$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

From theorem (2.4)

$$w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

And

$$w(u_{n+1}, u_n) \leq 2^{n-1} k^n [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = p \in M$. We show that $p \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} T_n(p)$. Let T_m be an arbitrary member of $\{T_n\}$. Since $u_n \in T_n(u_{n-1})$, by hypothesis there is $s_n \in T_m(p)$ such that

$$w(u_n, s_n) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h} \right) \{w(u_{n-1}, u_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(p, s_n) + w(s_n, p)\}$$

And

$$w(s_n, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{h}{1-2h} \right) \{w(s_n, p) + w(p, s_n) + w(u_n, u_{n-1}) + w(u_{n-1}, u_n)\}$$

We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 and we get

$$w(u_n, p) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_m) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)]$$

And

$$w(p, u_n) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_m, u_n) \leq \left(\frac{2^{n-1} k^n}{1-2k} \right) [w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]$$

Now assume that $p \notin T_m(p)$. Then, by hypothesis and for $n > m$ and $m \geq 1$ we have

$$0 < \inf \{w(u, p) + w(p, u) + w(u, T_m u) + w(T_m u, u) : u \in X\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \inf\{w(u_{m-1}, p) + w(p, u_{m-1}) + w(u_{m-1}, T_m(u_{m-1})) + w(T_m(u_{m-1}), u_{m-1}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&\leq \inf\{w(u_{m-1}, p) + w(p, u_{m-1}) + w(u_{m-1}, u_m) + w(u_m, u_{m-1}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&\leq \inf\left\{\left(\frac{2^{m-2}k^{m-1}}{1-2k}\right)[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + \left(\frac{2^{m-2}k^{m-1}}{1-2k}\right)[w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ 2^{m-2}k^{m-1}[w(u_0, u_1) + w(u_1, u_0)] + 2^{m-2}k^{m-1}[w(u_1, u_0) + w(u_0, u_1)]\right\} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Which is impossible and hence $p \in T_m(p)$. But T_m is an arbitrary; hence p is a common fixed point.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Qassim University, represented by the Deanship of Scientific Research, on the material support for this research under the number (1370) during the academic year 1437 AH / 2016 AD"

References

- [1] O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi. "Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces". *Mathematica Japonica.*, 44 (1996), 381-391.
- [2] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point Theorems and Characterizations of metric completeness", *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis.*, 8 (1996), 371- 382.
- [3] W. Takahashi. "Existence theorems in metric spaces and characterizations of metric completeness". *Josai Mathematical Monographs*, 1 (1999). 67-85.
- [4] W. Takahashi, "Nonlinear Functional Analysis"; Fixed point theory and its applications, Yokohama Publishers, 2000.
- [5] Sushanta Kumar Mohanta, Generalized W -Distance and a Fixed Point Theorem, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, Vol. 6, 2011, no. 18, 853 – 860.
- [6] Sushanta Kumar Mohanta, A Fixed Point Theorem Via Generalized W -Distance, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Volume 3 Issue 2(2011), Pages 134-139.

